

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/572,852 | 12/07/2006 | Satoshi Matsuura | 060197 | 9245 | |
| 23850 KRATZ OUI | 7590 08/11/2008 NTOS & HANSON, LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1420 K Street, N.W. | | | LIN, KUANG Y | | |
| Suite 400 WASHINGTO | ON. DC 20005 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | | 1793 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/11/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/572,852 | | MATSUURA ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Kuang Y. Lin | 1793 | | |

| | Kuang Y. Lin | 1793 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1986a. The date where the properties of the properties of the date of the properties of elsewhering the practical of each under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above; if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete. | iones with 27 CER 41 27 must be | Eladithin two months | of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| \(\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) \(\) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) \(\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) \(\) They are not deemed to place the application in better | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | ie issues ioi |
| | | cteu ciaims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: | | | OTOL 204) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | npliant Amendment (| -10L-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alleann-allowable claim(s). | | • | |
| 7. Me for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an e | spianation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | I and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Item 3(a) above | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | /Kuang Y. Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1793 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 and the addition of new claim 10 raises new issues.